

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p> <p>All Participants:</p> <p>(1) <u>LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Frank L. Cire</u>.</p> <p>Date of Interview: <u>26 February 2010</u></p> <p>Type of Interview:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)</p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101 issues</p> <p>Claims discussed: 7 and 13</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed: None</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner discussed 101 issues of claims 7 and 13 and proposed to the applicant an examiner's answer to correct the issue. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes of claims 7 and 13.</p> <p>Part III.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</p>	<p>Application No. 10/775,090</p> <p>Examiner LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ</p> <p>Applicant(s) KUROTSU ET AL</p> <p>Art Unit 2625</p>
---	---

/Lennin R Rodriguez/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)